

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/782,004	02/12/2001	Bassil I. Dahiyat	67461-5041US01	1900
67374 7590 06/27/2007 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS, LLP		EXAMINER		
ONE MARKE	T SPEAR STREET TO		BORIN, MICHAEL L	
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	1631	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/782,004	DAHIYAT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
•	Michael Borin	1631				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 Ap	<u>oril 2007</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	_ 					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 36-41 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 36-41 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	vn from consideration.	,				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplished any accomplished any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the led drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate				

•

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

1. Amendment filed 04/06/07 is acknowledged.

All previously pending claims are canceled. Claims 36-41 are added. Claims 36-41 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 36-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 36, step d) is unclear with regard what constitutes the library generated per the step. First, it is not clear what is the difference between the "primary" library, and the "secondary" library. The former, per step c) is comprised of sequence of the target protein, wherein residues at certain positions - variable positions – have been replaced with sets of alternative residues (generated as a result of applying force field calculations to select "optimized" residues). The latter, is a combination of residues in non-variable and variable positions, with multiple variants at variable positions generating plurality of sequences, i.e., a library. The distinction between the two is not

Art Unit: 1631

clear, thus the broad reading of the claims addresses generation of just one library of variant protein sequences, whether it is addressed as a "primary" or "secondary".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 and 103

3. Claims 36,37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Lacroix et al (US 2002/0072864; filing date 08/31/1999).

The rejection is maintained for the reasons of record as applied to claims 12,13,33-35(now canceled).

In the response filed 04/06/07 applicant informs that a "request for continuing application" (?) accompanies the response. No such request has been filed.

Further, applicant states that the above indicated "request for continuing application" "amends the priority claim of the present application to" (end of sentence?). It is not clear how priority claim can be amended, as well it remains unclear what it was amended to.

4. Claims 38-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Lacroix et al .

The rejection is maintained for the reasons of record as applied to claims 21-24 (now canceled) and in view of comments to the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) above.

Prior art made of record

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Wang et al (Protein Engineering vol. 9 no. 6 pp. 479-484, 1996) teaches a method of creating a library of mutant variants of the target protein using

Art Unit: 1631

force field calculations. Based on protein main chain characteristics, the mean force field was constructed to evaluate the compatibility between an amino acid residue and its environment, from which a position-dependent protein mutant profile was constructed. At each position along a protein sequence, the native residue was replaced by the other 19 types of amino acid residues. The reference concludes that creating such libraries can be used as a guide in protein engineering and as an effective scoring matrix in protein sequence—structure alignment studies.

Conclusion.

- 6. No claims are allowed
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 1631

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Borin whose telephone number is (571) 272-0713. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla can be reached on (571) 272-0735. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael Borin, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1631

mlb